UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,608	11/24/2003	Joseph J. Massad	M3330.003	4237
	7590 04/01/200 SON & KACHIGIAN	EXAMINER		
228 W 17TH P	LACE	WILSON, JOHN J		
TULSA, OK 74119			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/720,608	MASSAD, JOSEPH J.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
John J. Wilson	3732		

	John J. Wilson	3732			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 29 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	vit, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request			
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Armo event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (IMONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orion than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ginally set in the final Office action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NC w);	TE below);			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 					
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii subifiilled iii a separale,	timely flied afficient cancelling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ill be entered and an explanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fails to provide a			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> 	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attached.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
	/John J Wilson/				
	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3732				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims are only limited to a bottom which Laszlo shows, applicant's arguments that the bottom is merely wax and merely an intermediate element are not given any patentable weight because they are not commensurate with the claim language. The inner curved surface of Laszlo does inherently create an undercut as shown. With respect to Opotow, this reference is to a device to correct and make better the bite of opposing teeth. Laszlo is also directed to improved occlusion. To use the the references together in order to obtain a balanced better occlusion between the teeth is suggested and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.